School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is meant to consolidate all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), pursuant to the California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

The purpose of the SPSA is to increase the overall effectiveness of the school program by crafting a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement.

The School Site Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications in the plan to reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable, pursuant to EC 52853(b) and 52855.

California's ESSA State Plan significantly shifts the state's approach to the utilization of federal resources in support of underserved student groups. The SPSA provides schools with the opportunity to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students.

The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement.

| School Name | Jamestown Elementary School |
|--|---|
| Address | 18299 Fifth Avenue Jamestown, CA 95327 |
| County-District-School (CDS) Code | 55-72363-6054902 |
| Principal | Mrs. Joan Perry |
| District Name | Jamestown School District |
| SPSA Revision Date | March 29, 2019 |
| Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date | April 3, 2019 |
| Local Board Approval Date | April 10, 2019 |

In the pages that follow, please describe the school's plan for making the best use of federal ESEA resources in alignment with other federal, state, and local programs.

Table of Contents

| SPSA Title Page | 1 |
|---|----|
| Table of Contents | 2 |
| School Vision and Mission | 4 |
| School Profile | 4 |
| Stakeholder Involvement | 4 |
| School and Student Performance Data | 5 |
| Student Enrollment | 5 |
| CAASPP Results | 7 |
| ELPAC Results | 11 |
| Student Population | 15 |
| Overall Performance | 16 |
| Academic Performance | 17 |
| Academic Engagement | 23 |
| Conditions & Climate | 26 |
| Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures | 28 |
| Goal 1 | 28 |
| Goal 2 | 30 |
| Goal 3 | 32 |
| Goal 4 | 34 |
| Goal 5 | 35 |
| Annual Review and Update | 36 |
| Goal 1 | 36 |
| Goal 2 | 38 |
| Goal 3 | 41 |
| Goal 4 | 44 |
| Goal 5 | 45 |
| Budget Summary and Consolidation | 46 |
| Budget Summary | 46 |
| Allocations by Funding Source | 46 |
| Expenditures by Funding Source | 47 |
| Expenditures by Budget Reference | 48 |
| Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source | 49 |
| School Site Council Membership | 50 |
| Recommendations and Assurances | 51 |
| Addendum | 52 |
| Instructions: Linked Table of Contents | 52 |

| Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Schools Funded Through the ConApp | 55 |
|---|----|
| Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs | 57 |

School Vision and Mission

The district's mission is to provide a healthy, safe, enriching, learning environment to help each and every child grow and achieve. Jamestown School District serves approximately 380 students in Transitional Kindergarten through eighth, and supports two school campuses.

School Profile

Jamestown School District was established in 1855. Jamestown Elementary School is committed to providing a healthy, safe, enriching, learning environment to help each child grow and achieve.One Jamestown Elementary in the town of Jamestown, which is nestled in the foothills of California's gold country. Jamestown School takes pride in their highly trained and respected staff who provide quality instructional experiences for Jamestown's children through a traditional TK-8 program including a Spanish/English Dual Immersion Program in grades Kindergarten through 4th grade. Our school provides 1:1 technology devices for students in grades 1-8. Jamestown School provides an after school program for students in TK-2 grade and an after school PATHWAYS class for students in 3-8th grades with certificated teachers. We have a strong Title I program with a highly qualified Reading Specialist and support staff using evidence-based programs. Students enjoy a strong elementary sports program, music, visual art classes, classroom music, and band. The district has a school counselor, a Safe School Ambassador, and a Behavior and Attendance Support Assistant who all promote positive behavior intervention and support strategies along with Trauma Informed Practices.

Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Stakeholder involvement is on going throughout the year as our plan is directly tied to our LCAP plan. We have regular progress updates and meetings with all stakeholders (e.g. Parents, school site council, teachers, classified staff, management, bargaining units, and school leadership team.) in order to seek feedback and input. The final review of the SPSA was reviewed by the school leadership team on April 1, 2019, the SSC on April 3, 2019 and brought to the Board for approval on April 10, 2019.

| | Student Enrollment by Subgroup | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|---------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Per | cent of Enrolli | ment | Nu | mber of Stude | ents | | | | | | |
| Student Group | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | | | | | | |
| American Indian | 2.0% | 3.0% | 3.29% | 6 | 9 | 10 | | | | | | |
| African American | 0.7% | 0.3% | 0.66% | 2 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | |
| Asian | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.66% | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | |
| Filipino | 1.4% | 1.3% | 0.66% | 4 | 4 | 2 | | | | | | |
| Hispanic/Latino | 26.9% | 28.2% | 26.32% | 80 | 84 | 80 | | | | | | |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0% | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| White | 66.3% | 61.4% | 60.53% | 197 | 183 | 184 | | | | | | |
| Multiple/No Response | 2.4% | 2.7% | 5.26% | 7 | 8 | 16 | | | | | | |
| | | То | tal Enrollment | 297 | 298 | 304 | | | | | | |

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

| | Student Enrollment by | y Grade Level | |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Orreste | | Number of Students | |
| Grade | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
| Kindergarten | 45 | 60 | 58 |
| Grade 1 | 36 | 34 | 43 |
| Grade 2 | 23 | 32 | 38 |
| Grade3 | 29 | 21 | 24 |
| Grade 4 | 40 | 28 | 18 |
| Grade 5 | 22 | 30 | 21 |
| Grade 6 | 38 | 22 | 34 |
| Grade 7 | 32 | 37 | 33 |
| Grade 8 | 32 | 34 | 35 |
| Grade 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grade 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Enrollment | 297 | 298 | 304 |

Conclusions based on this data:

Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | Num | ber of Stud | lents | Percent of Students | | | | | | |
| Student Group | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | | | | |
| English Learners | 29 | 29 | 35 | 9.8% | 9.7% | 11.5% | | | | |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 10 | 13 | 0 | 3.4% | 4.4% | 0% | | | | |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 4 | 4 | 5 | 13.8% | 13.8% | 14.3% | | | | |

Conclusions based on this data:

- **1.** We are seeing an increase in our EL population and our RFEP rate could be higher.
- 2. We need to increase our level of both designated and integrated ELD instruction.

| | Overall Participation for All Students | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|-------|---------|--------|----------------------|--------|------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------------------|-------|--|
| Grade # of Students Enrolled | | | nrolled | # of S | tudents ⁻ | Tested | # of Students with Scores | | | % of S | % of Students Tested | | |
| Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | |
| Grade 3 | 28 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 19 | 24 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| Grade 4 | 39 | 26 | 17 | 37 | 24 | 17 | 37 | 24 | 17 | 94.9 | 92.3 | 100 | |
| Grade 5 | 26 | 31 | 22 | 24 | 30 | 22 | 24 | 30 | 22 | 92.3 | 96.8 | 100 | |
| Grade 6 | 37 | 23 | 38 | 37 | 23 | 37 | 36 | 23 | 37 | 100 | 100 | 97.4 | |
| Grade 7 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| Grade 8 | 35 | 33 | 27 | 35 | 32 | 27 | 35 | 32 | 27 | 100 | 97 | 100 | |
| All Grades | 199 | 167 | 162 | 195 | 163 | 161 | 194 | 162 | 161 | 98 | 97.6 | 99.4 | |

CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| | Overall Achievement for All Students | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Grade Mean Scale Score | | % Standard Exceeded | | | % | % Standard Met | | | % Standard Nearly Met | | | % Standard Not Met | | | |
| Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 2373. | 2356. | 2382. | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25 | 31.58 | 33.33 | 21 | 5.26 | 25.00 | 50 | 63.16 | 41.67 |
| Grade 4 | 2418. | 2412. | 2377. | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35 | 20.83 | 29.41 | 11 | 29.17 | 11.76 | 49 | 50.00 | 58.82 |
| Grade 5 | 2476. | 2459. | 2434. | 13 | 6.67 | 0.00 | 21 | 16.67 | 18.18 | 25 | 36.67 | 18.18 | 42 | 40.00 | 63.64 |
| Grade 6 | 2457. | 2529. | 2474. | 0 | 8.70 | 2.70 | 17 | 43.48 | 21.62 | 31 | 34.78 | 29.73 | 53 | 13.04 | 45.95 |
| Grade 7 | 2518. | 2500. | 2556. | 9 | 0.00 | 11.76 | 26 | 17.65 | 50.00 | 35 | 52.94 | 17.65 | 29 | 29.41 | 20.59 |
| Grade 8 | 2558. | 2557. | 2527. | 11 | 15.63 | 3.70 | 34 | 31.25 | 25.93 | 31 | 31.25 | 37.04 | 23 | 21.88 | 33.33 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7 | 5.56 | 3.73 | 27 | 25.93 | 30.43 | 26 | 33.95 | 24.22 | 41 | 34.57 | 41.61 |

| | Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------|-------|--------|-------------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | % A | bove Stan | dard | % At (| or Near Sta | indard | % B | elow Stan | dard | | | | |
| Grade Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | | | | |
| Grade 3 | 14 | 10.53 | 8.33 | 39 | 26.32 | 62.50 | 46 | 63.16 | 29.17 | | | | |
| Grade 4 | 11 | 4.35 | 29.41 | 32 | 52.17 | 11.76 | 57 | 43.48 | 58.82 | | | | |
| Grade 5 | 25 | 13.33 | 13.64 | 33 | 46.67 | 13.64 | 42 | 40.00 | 72.73 | | | | |
| Grade 6 | 3 | 26.09 | 5.41 | 36 | 56.52 | 43.24 | 61 | 17.39 | 51.35 | | | | |
| Grade 7 | 12 | 5.88 | 20.59 | 44 | 50.00 | 58.82 | 44 | 44.12 | 20.59 | | | | |
| Grade 8 | 29 | 28.13 | 7.41 | 43 | 50.00 | 55.56 | 29 | 21.88 | 37.04 | | | | |
| All Grades | 15 | 14.91 | 13.04 | 38 | 47.83 | 44.10 | 47 | 37.27 | 42.86 | | | | |

| | Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|-----------|-------|-------|-------------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | % A | bove Stan | dard | % At | or Near Sta | indard | % B | elow Stan | dard | | | | |
| Grade Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | | | | |
| Grade 3 | 4 | 10.53 | 0.00 | 46 | 36.84 | 50.00 | 50 | 52.63 | 50.00 | | | | |
| Grade 4 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 51 | 65.22 | 41.18 | 46 | 34.78 | 58.82 | | | | |
| Grade 5 | 13 | 16.67 | 4.55 | 57 | 36.67 | 63.64 | 30 | 46.67 | 31.82 | | | | |
| Grade 6 | 3 | 21.74 | 5.41 | 36 | 60.87 | 48.65 | 61 | 17.39 | 45.95 | | | | |
| Grade 7 | 12 | 8.82 | 17.65 | 59 | 61.76 | 61.76 | 29 | 29.41 | 20.59 | | | | |
| Grade 8 | 14 | 18.75 | 7.41 | 66 | 59.38 | 51.85 | 20 | 21.88 | 40.74 | | | | |
| All Grades | 8 | 13.04 | 6.83 | 52 | 54.04 | 53.42 | 40 | 32.92 | 39.75 | | | | |

| | | Demor | strating ef | Listening fective co | mmunicatio | on skills | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Orreste Laurel | % A | bove Stan | dard | % At (| or Near Sta | indard | % B | elow Stan | dard |
| Grade Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 11 | 10.53 | 12.50 | 68 | 63.16 | 54.17 | 21 | 26.32 | 33.33 |
| Grade 4 | 5 | 0.00 | 17.65 | 78 | 69.57 | 58.82 | 16 | 30.43 | 23.53 |
| Grade 5 | 17 | 3.33 | 0.00 | 67 | 66.67 | 54.55 | 17 | 30.00 | 45.45 |
| Grade 6 | 3 | 34.78 | 2.70 | 72 | 60.87 | 70.27 | 25 | 4.35 | 27.03 |
| Grade 7 | 12 | 11.76 | 5.88 | 71 | 64.71 | 76.47 | 18 | 23.53 | 17.65 |
| Grade 8 | 11 | 12.50 | 14.81 | 74 | 68.75 | 66.67 | 14 | 18.75 | 18.52 |
| All Grades | 9 | 11.80 | 8.07 | 72 | 65.84 | 65.22 | 19 | 22.36 | 26.71 |

| | Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------|-------|--------|-------------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Oracle Level | % A | bove Stan | dard | % At (| or Near Sta | indard | % B | elow Stan | dard | | | | |
| Grade Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | | | | |
| Grade 3 | 11 | 5.26 | 4.17 | 43 | 31.58 | 58.33 | 46 | 63.16 | 37.50 | | | | |
| Grade 4 | 16 | 13.04 | 5.88 | 51 | 43.48 | 29.41 | 32 | 43.48 | 64.71 | | | | |
| Grade 5 | 21 | 13.33 | 4.55 | 58 | 53.33 | 50.00 | 21 | 33.33 | 45.45 | | | | |
| Grade 6 | 6 | 26.09 | 10.81 | 67 | 60.87 | 54.05 | 28 | 13.04 | 35.14 | | | | |
| Grade 7 | 9 | 5.88 | 17.65 | 62 | 58.82 | 70.59 | 29 | 35.29 | 11.76 | | | | |
| Grade 8 | 17 | 15.63 | 11.11 | 60 | 62.50 | 55.56 | 23 | 21.88 | 33.33 | | | | |
| All Grades | 13 | 13.04 | 9.94 | 57 | 53.42 | 55.28 | 30 | 33.54 | 34.78 | | | | |

Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. Participation rate approaching 100%
- 2. Overall growth in ELA achievement grew from 31.46 at or above standard to 34.16 at or above standard
- **3.** Writing skills and reading skills continued to need attention as 40% of the students scored in the below standard range

| | Overall Participation for All Students | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|----------|---------|----------------------|-------|-------|------------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Grade | # of St | udents E | nrolled | # of Students Tested | | | # of Students with Scores | | | % of Students Tested | | | | | |
| Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | | | |
| Grade 3 | 28 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 20 | 24 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Grade 4 | 39 | 26 | 17 | 37 | 25 | 17 | 37 | 25 | 17 | 94.9 | 96.2 | 100 | | | |
| Grade 5 | 26 | 31 | 22 | 25 | 31 | 22 | 23 | 31 | 22 | 96.2 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Grade 6 | 37 | 23 | 38 | 37 | 22 | 38 | 37 | 22 | 38 | 100 | 95.7 | 100 | | | |
| Grade 7 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Grade 8 | 36 | 33 | 27 | 36 | 32 | 27 | 35 | 32 | 27 | 100 | 97 | 100 | | | |
| All Grades | 200 | 167 | 162 | 197 | 164 | 162 | 194 | 164 | 162 | 98.5 | 98.2 | 100 | | | |

CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)

| | Overall Achievement for All Students | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Grade | | | % Standard Exceeded | | % | % Standard Met | | % Standard Nearly Met | | | % Standard Not Met | | | | |
| Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Grade 3 | 2374. | 2365. | 2382. | 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11 | 30.00 | 29.17 | 32 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 54 | 45.00 | 45.83 |
| Grade 4 | 2431. | 2428. | 2457. | 5 | 0.00 | 5.88 | 24 | 16.00 | 35.29 | 24 | 48.00 | 29.41 | 46 | 36.00 | 29.41 |
| Grade 5 | 2446. | 2448. | 2438. | 0 | 9.68 | 0.00 | 9 | 9.68 | 9.09 | 35 | 22.58 | 31.82 | 57 | 58.06 | 59.09 |
| Grade 6 | 2436. | 2493. | 2460. | 0 | 9.09 | 7.89 | 3 | 18.18 | 10.53 | 30 | 40.91 | 23.68 | 68 | 31.82 | 57.89 |
| Grade 7 | 2500. | 2465. | 2545. | 9 | 0.00 | 14.71 | 12 | 11.76 | 26.47 | 38 | 41.18 | 29.41 | 41 | 47.06 | 29.41 |
| Grade 8 | 2553. | 2522. | 2525. | 17 | 6.25 | 11.11 | 26 | 18.75 | 11.11 | 29 | 31.25 | 40.74 | 29 | 43.75 | 37.04 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 6 | 4.27 | 7.41 | 14 | 16.46 | 19.14 | 31 | 34.76 | 29.63 | 48 | 44.51 | 43.83 |

| | Concepts & Procedures Applying mathematical concepts and procedures | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Crede Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grade Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | | | | | |
| Grade 3 | 4 | 5.00 | 12.50 | 39 | 45.00 | 41.67 | 57 | 50.00 | 45.83 | | | | | |
| Grade 4 | 19 | 8.00 | 23.53 | 30 | 36.00 | 35.29 | 51 | 56.00 | 41.18 | | | | | |
| Grade 5 | 0 | 12.90 | 0.00 | 30 | 22.58 | 31.82 | 70 | 64.52 | 68.18 | | | | | |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 22.73 | 10.53 | 16 | 18.18 | 26.32 | 84 | 59.09 | 63.16 | | | | | |
| Grade 7 | 12 | 2.94 | 26.47 | 41 | 35.29 | 41.18 | 47 | 61.76 | 32.35 | | | | | |
| Grade 8 | 23 | 9.38 | 14.81 | 46 | 31.25 | 33.33 | 31 | 59.38 | 51.85 | | | | | |
| All Grades | 10 | 9.76 | 14.81 | 34 | 31.10 | 34.57 | 56 | 59.15 | 50.62 | | | | | |

| Using | Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Crade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grade Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | | | | | |
| Grade 3 | 4 | 10.00 | 8.33 | 46 | 50.00 | 41.67 | 50 | 40.00 | 50.00 | | | | | |
| Grade 4 | 14 | 4.00 | 11.76 | 30 | 44.00 | 47.06 | 57 | 52.00 | 41.18 | | | | | |
| Grade 5 | 9 | 6.45 | 0.00 | 30 | 45.16 | 40.91 | 61 | 48.39 | 59.09 | | | | | |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 9.09 | 5.26 | 24 | 54.55 | 36.84 | 76 | 36.36 | 57.89 | | | | | |
| Grade 7 | 6 | 2.94 | 8.82 | 53 | 38.24 | 64.71 | 41 | 58.82 | 26.47 | | | | | |
| Grade 8 | 17 | 9.38 | 7.41 | 51 | 50.00 | 66.67 | 31 | 40.63 | 25.93 | | | | | |
| All Grades | 8 | 6.71 | 6.79 | 39 | 46.34 | 50.00 | 53 | 46.95 | 43.21 | | | | | |

| | Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade Level % Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grade Level | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 17-18 | | | | | |
| Grade 3 | 0 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 54 | 50.00 | 54.17 | 46 | 40.00 | 45.83 | | | | | |
| Grade 4 | 11 | 0.00 | 23.53 | 35 | 48.00 | 35.29 | 54 | 52.00 | 41.18 | | | | | |
| Grade 5 | 0 | 9.68 | 4.55 | 35 | 35.48 | 31.82 | 65 | 54.84 | 63.64 | | | | | |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 13.64 | 7.89 | 46 | 50.00 | 26.32 | 54 | 36.36 | 65.79 | | | | | |
| Grade 7 | 9 | 0.00 | 11.76 | 50 | 50.00 | 58.82 | 41 | 50.00 | 29.41 | | | | | |
| Grade 8 | 20 | 6.25 | 11.11 | 60 | 56.25 | 48.15 | 20 | 37.50 | 40.74 | | | | | |
| All Grades | 7 | 6.10 | 9.26 | 47 | 48.17 | 42.59 | 46 | 45.73 | 48.15 | | | | | |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. 100% of students participated in CAASPP

2. 26.55% of students have or exceeded standards which is an increase of 28.08% from the prior year's score

3. Math reasoning and math concepts are areas to focus on as 50% of students are still below standard

ELPAC Results

| | 2017-18 Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|---------------|------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade Level | Overall | Oral Language | Written Language | Number of Students Tested | | | | | | | | |
| Grade K | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | |
| Grade 1 | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | |
| Grade 4 | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | |
| Grade 6 | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | |
| Grade 8 | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | |
| All Grades | | | | 28 | | | | | | | | |

| | Number | and Perce | entage of | Overa Students a | ll Languag at Each Pé | | e Level fo | r All Stude | ents |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Grade | Level 4 | | Level 3 | | Lev | vel 2 | Lev | vel 1 | Total Number of |
| Level | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | Students |
| Grade K | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 1 | * | * | * | * | | | | | * |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 3 | | | * | * | | | | | * |
| Grade 4 | | | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 6 | * | * | | | | | | | * |
| Grade 8 | | | * | * | | | | | * |
| All Grades | * | * | 12 | 42.86 | * | * | * | * | 28 |

| | Number | r and Perc | entage of | | Language at Each Pe | | e Level fo | or All Stud | ents | |
|------------|--------|------------|-----------|---------|------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| Grade | Lev | vel 4 | Lev | Level 3 | | /el 2 | Le | vel 1 | Total Number of | |
| Level | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | Students | |
| Grade K | | | * | * | * | * | | | * | |
| Grade 1 | * | * | * | * | | | | | * | |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | | | | | * | |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | | | | | * | |
| Grade 4 | | | * | * | | | | | * | |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | * | |
| Grade 6 | * | * | | | | | | | * | |
| Grade 8 | * | * | | | | | | | * | |
| All Grades | * | * | 16 | 57.14 | * | * | | | 28 | |

| | Number | and Perce | entage of | | n Langua at Each P | ge erformanc | e Level fo | or All Stud | ents |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Grade | Level 4 | | Level 3 | | Le | vel 2 | Lev | vel 1 | Total Number of |
| Level | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | Students |
| Grade K | * | * | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 1 | * | * | | | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 3 | | | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 4 | | | | | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 5 | * | * | | | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 6 | | | | | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 8 | | | * | * | | | | | * |
| All Grades | * | * | * | * | 13 | 46.43 | * | * | 28 |

| | Number and | Percentage (| | ning Domain y Domain Per | formance Lev | vel for All Stu | dents |
|----------------|------------|------------------------------------|----|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Grade Level | Well De | /ell Developed Somewhat/Moderately | | | Begi | nning | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 1 | * | * | | | | | * |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 4 | | | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 6 | * | * | | | | | * |
| Grade 8 | | | * | * | | | * |
| All Grades | 14 | 50.00 | 13 | 46.43 | * | * | 28 |

| | Number and | Percentage of | | king Domain y Domain Per | formance Lev | vel for All Stu | dents |
|----------------|------------|------------------------------|----|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Grade Level | Well De | eveloped Somewhat/Moderately | | | Begi | nning | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | | | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 1 | | | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 3 | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 4 | * | * | | | | | * |
| Grade 5 | * | * | | | * | * | * |
| Grade 6 | * | * | | | | | * |
| Grade 8 | * | * | | | | | * |
| All Grades | 14 | 50.00 | 12 | 42.86 | * | * | 28 |

| | Number and | Percentage | | ling Domain by Domain Perf | ormance Lev | vel for All Stu | dents |
|----------------|------------|------------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Grade Level | Well De | veloped | Somewha | t/Moderately | Begi | nning | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 1 | * | * | | | * | * | * |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 3 | | | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 4 | | | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 6 | | | | | * | * | * |
| Grade 8 | | | * | * | | | * |
| All Grades | * | * | 13 | 46.43 | * | * | 28 |

| | Number and | Percentage (| Writi of Students by | ng Domain y Domain Per | formance Lev | vel for All Stu | dents |
|----------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Grade Level | Well De | veloped | Somewhat | /Moderately | Begiı | nning | Total Number of Students |
| Grade K | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 1 | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 3 | | | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 4 | | | * | * | * | * | * |
| Grade 5 | * | * | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 6 | | | * | * | | | * |
| Grade 8 | | | * | * | | | * |
| All Grades | * | * | 16 | 57.14 | * | * | 28 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. This will be the first year in which scored will be recorded using this new online test.

Student Population

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2017-18 Student Population | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Total Enrollment | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | English Learners | Foster Youth | |
| 304 | 78.6% | 11.5% | 0.3% | |
| This is the total number of students enrolled. | This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma. | This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses. | This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court. | |

| 2017-18 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group | | | | |
|---|-------|------------|--|--|
| Student Group | Total | Percentage | | |
| English Learners | 35 | 11.5% | | |
| Foster Youth | 1 | 0.3% | | |
| Homeless | 25 | 8.2% | | |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 239 | 78.6% | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 41 | 13.5% | | |

| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|------------|--|--|
| Student Group | Total | Percentage | | |
| African American | 2 | 0.7% | | |
| American Indian | 10 | 3.3% | | |
| Asian | 2 | 0.7% | | |
| Filipino | 2 | 0.7% | | |
| Hispanic | 80 | 26.3% | | |
| Two or More Races | 8 | 2.6% | | |
| White | 184 | 60.5% | | |

Conclusions based on this data:

Overall Performance

| 2018 Fall D | 2018 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Academic Performance | Academic Engagement | Conditions & Climate | | | |
| English Language Arts Orange | Chronic Absenteeism Orange | Suspension Rate | | | |
| Mathematics Yellow | | | | | |
| English Learner Progress No Performance Color | | | | | |

Conclusions based on this data:

Academic Performance English Language Arts

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:



This section provides number of student groups in each color.

| | 2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report | | | |
|-----|---|--------|-------|------|
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth | | |
| Orange | No Performance Color | No Performance Color | | |
| 42.5 points below standard | 80.9 points below standard | 0 Students | | |
| Maintained -1.7 points | Declined -15.2 points | | | |
| 148 students | 24 students | | | |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities | | |
| No Performance Color | Orange | No Performance Color | | |
| 32.2 points below standard | 56.6 points below standard | 112.1 points below standard | | |
| Increased 24.4 points | Declined -6.1 points | Maintained -0.9 points | | |
| 12 students | 118 students | 19 students | | |

| 2018 Fall | Dashboard English Languag | e Arts Performance by Race | /Ethnicity |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| No Performance Color 0 Students | No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 5 students | No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1 students | No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2 students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| Orange | No Performance Color | No Performance Color | Orange |
| 46.9 points below standard | Less than 11 Students - Data | 0 Students | 46.1 points below standard |
| Declined -10.9 points | Not Displayed for Privacy 3 students | | Maintained -2.1 points |
| 44 students | | | 92 students |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only | |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not | 36.1 points below standard | 38 points below standard | |
| Displayed for Privacy 9 students | 15 students | Maintained 0.7 points | |
| | | 121 students | |

Conclusions based on this data:

Academic Performance Mathematics


The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:



This section provides number of student groups in each color.

| | 2018 Fall Das | shboard Mathematics E | equity Report | |
|-----|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|------|
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.



| 2018 | 8 Fall Dashboard Mathematic | s Performance by Race/Ethn | icity |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| No Performance Color 0 Students | No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 5 students | No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1 students | No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 2 students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| Yellow | No Performance Color | No Performance Color | Yellow |
| 40.7 points below standard | Less than 11 Students - Data | 0 Students | 65.1 points below standard |
| Increased | Not Displayed for Privacy 3 students | | Increased 11.4 points |
| 20.6 points 45 students | | | 92 students |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only | |
| Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 10 students | 53.8 points below standard | 56 points below standard | |
| | 15 students | Increased | |
| | | 17.8 noints 121 students | |

Conclusions based on this data:

Academic Performance English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percent of students performing at each level on the new English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) assessment. With the transition ELPAC, the 2018 Dashboard is unable to report a performance level (color) for this measure.

| 2018 Fall | 2018 Fall Dashboard English Language Proficiency Assessments for California Results | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Number of Students | Level 4 Well Developed | Level 3 Moderately Developed | Level 2 Somewhat Developed | Level 1 Beginning Stage | |
| 28 | 25% | 42.9% | 28.6% | 3.6% | |

Conclusions based on this data:

Academic Performance College/Career

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest Performance | Red | Orange | Yell | ow | Green | | Blue | Highest Performance |
|---|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------------|------|---------------------------|
| This section provide | s number of s | student groups in ea | ach color. | | | | | |
| | | 2018 Fall Dashbo | ard Colle | ege/Career | Equity F | Report | | |
| Red | | Orange | Yell | ow | | Green | | Blue |
| This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator. 2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group | | | | | | | | |
| | 2018 Fa | | ege/Care | er for All St | uaents/ | Student G | roup | |
| All St | udents | | English Learners | | | Foster Youth | | er Youth |
| Hom | eless | Socioeco | cioeconomically Disadvantaged St | | Stuc | tudents with Disabilities | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | 018 Fall Dashboar | d Colleg | e/Career by | Race/E | thnicity | | |
| African Amer | ican | American India | ierican Indian | | Asian | | | Filipino |
| Hispanic | ; | Two or More Ra | or More Races Pacifi | | Pacific Islander | | | White |
| This sections are detailed | | | 1 | | | Deserved | A | - I i a Decenaria de la d |

This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Class of 2016 | Class of 2017 | Class of 2018 | | |
| Prepared | Prepared | Prepared | | |
| Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared | | |
| Not Prepared | Not Prepared | Not Prepared | | |

Conclusions based on this data:

Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:




This section provides number of student groups in each color.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Red Orange Yellow Green Blue | | | | |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth | | |
| Orange | Green | No Performance Color | | |
| 15.5% chronically absent | 2.9% chronically absent | Less than 11 Students - Data Not | | |
| Increased 1.8% | Maintained 0.2% | Displayed for Privacy 2 students | | |
| 330 students | 34 students | | | |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities | | |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | | |
| 30.8% chronically absent | 17.7% chronically absent | 18% chronically absent | | |
| Increased 3.3% | Increased 2.3% | Declined 2.9% | | |
| 39 students | 266 students | 50 students | | |



Conclusions based on this data:

Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest Performance | Red | Orange | Yel | low | Green | I | Blue | Highest Performance |
|--|--|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|
| This section provide | es number of st | tudent groups in | each color | | | | | |
| | 2 | 018 Fall Dashb | oard Grad | uation Rate | Equity | Report | | |
| Red | O | range | Yel | ow | | Green | | Blue |
| | This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school. 2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group | | | | | | | |
| | tudents | | | | luuenta | | - | · Youth |
| | | | English I | | | | | |
| Hon | neless | Socioed | conomical | ly Disadvan | taged | Stud | lents wit | h Disabilities |
| | 201 | 18 Fall Dashboa | ard Gradua | ation Rate b | y Race/ | Ethnicity | | |
| African Ame | erican | American Inc | dian | | Asian | | | Filipino |
| Hispanie | c | Two or More F | or More Races Pacific Islander | | | White | | |
| This section provide entering ninth grade | | | | | • | • | na within | four years of |

2018 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year 2017 2018

Conclusions based on this data:

Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:




This section provides number of student groups in each color.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|-------|------|
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth | | |
| Red | Blue | No Performance Color | | |
| 8.5% suspended at least once | 0% suspended at least once | Less than 11 Students - Data Not 3 students | | |
| Increased 2.1% | Declined -6.3% | | | |
| 340 students | 34 students | | | |
| | | | | |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities | | |
| Red | Red | Red | | |
| 14% suspended at least once | 9.6% suspended at least once | 21.2% suspended at least once | | |
| Increased 2.3% | Increased 3.5% | Increased 16.6% | | |
| 43 students | 272 students | 52 students | | |



This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

| 2018 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| 2016 2017 2018 | | | | |
| 8% suspended at least once 6.4% suspended at least once | | 8.5% suspended at least once | | |

Conclusions based on this data:

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Goal 1

Subject

Academic Achievement - Curriculum and Professional Development

Goal Statement

By the end of the current school year, student performance on end of year summative assessments in language arts and mathematics will improve by at least 3% over the previous year.

LCAP Goal

Demonstrate significant growth for all students in ELA and Math. All students will increase proficiency in both ELA and Math for the 2018-2019 school year.

Basis for this Goal

Raise academic performance for students not yet meeting state standards in both Math and ELA.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline | Expected Outcome |
|---|--|--|
| STAR Reading and STAR Math scores tend to align with CAASPP scores. Teachers can use monthly results for STAR assessments to measure student progress, determine intervention and enrichment activities, and then reassess to measure growth. Continue research on Combo classes and track student progress to help determine interventions and placements for the following year. Maintain monthly collaboration days for teachers to review and share strategies and use of materials. Maintain licensing of researched- based software for intervention. | 2017-2018 scores showed 41.4% of students with ELA scores of standard not met and 44% of students with Math scores of standard not met. | Decrease to 38% for ELA and 41% for Math |

Planned Strategies/Activities

Strategy/Activity 1

Instruction in adopted Math and ELA materials, Monthly STAR assessments, access to Reading Intervention materials and programs, Universal Interventions in each class, Tiered Interventions (FastForward, Front Row, SIPPS) in the Reading Lab, Edmentum Exact Path for students receiving Special Education Services.

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

All students are will be served by this strategy/activity.

Timeline

Daily Interventions, Monthly Assessments, Monthly meetings to develop and Support Universal and Tier II interventions and data collection.

Person(s) Responsible

School Staff, Reading Specialist, Technology Specialist, School Administration.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Goal 2

Subject

Academic Achievement - Response to Instruction and Intervention

Goal Statement

For the 2018-2019 school year, regular monthly assessment will be administered and data analyzed in order to adjust instruction and increase overall student achievement in math and reading. The Response to Intervention model will continue to be monitored, as well as teachers working together to increase Universal and Tier 2 strategies for students whose achievement is below grade level.

LCAP Goal

All students will increase proficiency in ELA and mathematics by the end of the year.

Basis for this Goal

CAASPP scores for subgroups as measured by dashboard data are not demonstrating growth and movement towards meeting standards at the rate determined critical for student achievement.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline | Expected Outcome |
|--|---|---|
| STAR Reading and STAR Math scores tend to align with CAASPP scores. Teachers can use monthly results for STAR assessments to measure students progress, determine intervention and enrichment activities, and then reassess to measure progress. Continue staff time to research effective instructional strategies and target MTSS meetings to identify Universal and Tier II interventions to support all learners. Also create data tracking system to measure student progress and response to interventions. Maintain monthly collaboration days for teachers to review and share strategies and use of materials. Maintain licensing of researched- based software for intervention. | Students are making an average of 4 months growth per year in ELA and Math as measured by STAR tests. | Students will make one year Grade Equivalency growth in both math and ELA scores. |

Planned Strategies/Activities

Strategy/Activity 1

Classroom teachers will implement instructional norms to facilitate evidenced based strategies of effective teaching in order to optimize student learning. Classroom teachers will increase formative assessments, summative assessments, feedback, and monthly assessments to address reading fluency and comprehension needs of targeted subgroups as well as overall math fluency and proficiency.

Teachers will identify and monitor students that are below reading and math grade level and provided strategic Universal and Tier II interventions, track interventions and student's response to intervention towards academic growth. MTSS meetings will support teachers developing a systematic list of researched based interventions and resources to support Universal and Tier II interventions and data tracking documents.

Hold RTI meetings to identify and target students at risk for not meeting academic growth on a consistent basis. Provide regular math practice and along with progress monitoring data through small group instruction, individual practice, or online practice through REFLEX math, FRONT ROW math, FRECKLE programs or Exact Path for Special Education Students.

Continue licenses for Fast ForWord and Reading Assistant software.

Expand and continue implementation of Dual Immersion Classes.

Continue use of Calico Spanish and Escalante Programs for ELL students.

Provide training on developing lessons that differentiate instruction and provide supports that meet a diverse range of learners (Understanding by Design).

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

All students, with a targeted focus on ELL, Foster/Homeless, and Special Education students.

Timeline

Monthly STAR results, DIBELS scores (3 times a year), Benchmark tests, and Spring CAASPP scores.

Person(s) Responsible

Teachers, Leadership Team, School Administration, Reading Specialist, ELPAC co-ordinator.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Goal 3

Subject

Social Emotional Learning

Goal Statement

All students in the Jamestown Elementary School District will be educated in a safe and respectful learning community

LCAP Goal

Understanding the importance and need for social emotional learning and the development of skills, the school staff will continue the process and training to become a Trauma Informed School that implements best practices related to this field. These universal approaches will be implemented and incorporated within the school environment to help foster and maintain a positive school culture.

Basis for this Goal

Discipline Data, Foster and Homeless Youth Data, California Healthy Kids Survey, Referrals to School Counselor, Attendance,

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline | Expected Outcome |
|---|---|--|
| Universal Student Screening Risk for Student Behavior Surveys completed 3 times a year. | 32.26% students show no evidence of At Risk Externalizing Behavior and 26.94% students show no evidence of At Risk Internalizing Behavior. | Teachers will increase skills and knowledge to support student social emotional connection to school, and students will have access to school counselor to build positive and functional communication skills, positive interpersonal skills and adherence to school rules and expectations. |

Planned Strategies/Activities

Strategy/Activity 1

Teachers, BASA and Reflection Room staff will be trained on the purchased online SEL curriculum (Suite 360). Addition of Safe School Ambassador to support student communication, conflict resolution, social skills, school safety and school connections.

Addition of BASA (Behavior and Attendance Support) to proactively address the behavioral needs of students and help team with attendance concerns (chronic absenteeism).

Structured recesses, weather permitting.

JTA Family Fun Nights to build home/school communication and collaboration.

Panther Prides and weekly drawings at Flag Friday.

MTSS teams to address both Social Emotional and Behavioral Universal and Tier II supports with corresponding interventions and data collection sheets.

Professional Development on strategies to support on-task behaviors in the classroom and reduction of elopement behaviors.

Provide each classroom with "fidget" tools to address sensory regulation needs.

Training on creating Safe Spaces in the classroom for all teachers. Training on Anti-bullying and Anti-harassment procedures for all students and staff. Continue Counseling Services. Continue Monthly Character Award Assemblies. Create and Implement scheduled SART meetings to address attendance concerns. Saturday School with gift cards drawing as an incentive to decrease chronic absenteeism. Document home visits to address needs and supports provided. Create daily activities to build student engagement along with weekly and daily drawings. Create class-wide positive reinforcement (PomPAWS).

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

All students will be served by the strategy/activity.

Timeline

Daily Interventions, Monthly Assessments, Monthly meetings to develop and Support Universal and Tier II interventions and data collection, Planned team meetings with BASA, Safe School Ambassador, and Counselor, each trimester complete a Universal Screening Risks survey, Two times monthly SART meetings; TCSOS BCBA support for at risk behavior students; and RTI/PBIS team meetings to address behavioral concerns.

Person(s) Responsible

School Office, Administration, Counselor, Safe School Ambassador, BASA, teachers, Instructional Aides, and Yard Duty.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

| Goal 4 | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------|
| | | |
| Subject | | |
| Goal Statement | | |
| LCAP Goal | | |
| | | |
| Basis for this Goal | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Out Metric/Indicator | COMES Baseline | Expected Outcome |
| Planned Strategies/Activities | | |
| Strategy/Activity 1 Students to be Served by this Strategy Timeline | /Activity | |
| Person(s) Responsible | | |
| Proposed Expenditures for this Strateg | yy/Activity | |
| | | |

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

| Goal 5 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Subject | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Goal Statement | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| LCAP Goal | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Dania fan thia Oanl | | | | | | |
| Basis for this Goal | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes | | | | | | |
| Metric/Indicator | Baseline | Expected Outcome | | | | |
| Planned Strategies/Activities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Strategy/Activity 1 | | | | | | |
| Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity | | | | | | |
| Timeline | | | | | | |
| Person(s) Responsible | | | | | | |
| Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy | /Activity | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Annual Review and Update

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2017-18

Goal 1

Academic Achievement - Curriculum and Professional Development

Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Expected Outcomes | Actual Outcomes |
|--|---|-----------------|
| STAR Reading and STAR Math scores tend to align with CAASPP scores. Teachers can use monthly results for STAR assessments to measure students progress, determine intervention and enrichment activities, and then reassess to measure progress. Continue research on Combo classes and track student progress to help determine interventions and placements for the following year. Maintain monthly collaboration days for teachers to review and share strategies and use of materials. Maintain licensing of researched- based software for intervention. | 2017-2018 scores showed 41.4% of students with ELA scores of standard not met and 44% of students with Math scores of standard not met | |

Strategies/Activities for Goal 1

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Research Combo Class Curriculum and Professional Development. | Provide training on Understanding by Design to support purposeful instruction. Ongoing PD on differentiated instruction and Universal Design for Learning, and classroom management strategies. | Professional Development 1000- 1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality 4500 | |
| Provide professional development, training, and collaboration time to align common core standards to instruction and to learn how to effectively implement the | Provide training on Understanding by Design to support purposeful instruction. Ongoing PD on differentiated instruction and Universal Design for | Professional Development 1000- 1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality 10,000 | |

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|--|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| new math curriculum and materials. | Learning, and classroom management strategies. MTSS meetings to develop tiered interventions. Monthly collaboration time for teachers. | | |
| Maintain licensing on research-based software for intervention Renaissance Learning, FastForWard; DIBELS; ExactPath; Reflex Math; all are licensed. | Software Licensing 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF - Supplemental 13,000 | | |
| | Software Licensing-Fast Forward 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Title I 6,700 | | |

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Professional development has focused on instructional strategies that meet a diverse range of learners, with attention to purposeful lessons plans with clearly define learning outcomes tied to assessments to measure efficacy of instruction.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the school.

Teachers are working hard to build the capacity of students to attend to lessons. FRECKLE scores and STAR scores show that overall students are on target for progress, though not at the accelerated rate needed to see progress desired. MTSS team meetings are focused on developing systematic strategies for both Universal and Tier II interventions.

Explain any material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Annual Review and Update

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2017-18

Goal 2

Academic Achievement - Response to Instruction and Intervention

Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Expected Outcomes | Actual Outcomes |
|---|--|-----------------|
| STAR Reading and STAR Math scores tend to align with CAASPP scores. Teachers can use monthly results for STAR assessments to measure students progress, determine intervention and enrichment activities, and then reassess to measure progress. Continue research and MTSS meetings to identify Universal and Tier II interventions to support all learners and create data tracking system to measure student progress and response to interventions Maintain monthly collaboration days for teachers to review and share strategies and use of materials. Maintain licensing of researched- based software for intervention. | Students will make one year Grade Equivalency growth in both Math and ELA STAR test scores | |

Strategies/Activities for Goal 2

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Identify students who are below grade level in reading and/or math. Develop a plan to record and analyze assessment | ow grade level in ding and/or math.2017-2018 CAASPP scores, ongoing monthly evaluation based upon STAR scores, and | Software License 5000- 5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures LCFF - Supplemental 6241 | |
| data to support student DIBLES assessment. academic growth. | Reading Intervention Support Staff 2000- 2999: Classified Personnel Salaries Title I 12532 | | |
| | Classified Benefits 3000- 3999: Employee Benefits Title I 3275 | | |
| Continue the development, implementation and | Students are assessed, learning and corresponding data | Reading Intervention Specialist Salary 1000- | |

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
| intervention program. ba | analyzed, SST completed based upon teacher recommendations with | 1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title I 77065 | |
| | Reading Specialist at meetings . | Employee Benefits 3000-3999: Employee Benefits Title I 23513 | |
| Provide regular math practice that includes data collections, progress monitoring and regular feedback. | Mrs. Hughes provides math interventions 3 afternoons a week. Ms. Applebee offers intervention as directed by Ms. Rocker, Mr. Nickols, or Ms. Williams. Interim Assessments (practice tests) on CAASPP began in late January for 3-8th grade students. | Certificated Salaries (Applebee, Hughes) 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries General Fund 33,158 | |
| Continue license renewal and conduct monthly assessments to monitor student achievement using STAR Reading & Math as well as Front Row reports. | Completed. | Software licenses-Front Row 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF - Supplemental 3920 | |
| implementation and support of ELL programs to increase student achievement in this subgroup. sub | Part of the MTSS team strategies to address all learners. PD for teachers to learn about evidence based practices that enhance and support | Certificated Salaries (Dual Immersion) 1000- 1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF - Supplemental 291,942 | |
| | well as strategies for Dual Immersion program. | Classified Salaries (Dual Immersion) 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF - Supplemental 64854 | |

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

For 6-8th grade students, Math Boosters has focused on math concepts to move students from near standard to meeting standard. Lower grade students can meet three afternoons a week for math intervention with a Kindergarten teacher. SST meetings are focusing on strategies and interventions and documentation of those interventions. New ELL students are receiving additional supports

Describe the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the school.

Math boosters is supporting targeted students to address math skills, the reading lab is at capacity, with scores continuing to move upward in both decoding and comprehension. STAR scores, and numbers of students passing AR tests is near target for this time of year.

Explain any material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Annual Review and Update

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2017-18

Goal 3

Social Emotional Learning

Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Expected Outcomes | Actual Outcomes |
|---|--|-----------------|
| Teachers, BASA and Reflection Room staff will be trained on the purchased online SEL curriculum (Suite 360). Addition of Safe School Ambassador to support student communication, conflict resolution, social skills, school safety and school connections. Addition of BASA (Behavior and Attendance Support) to proactively address the behavioral needs of students and help team with attendance concerns (chronic absenteeism). Structured recesses, weather permitting. JTA Family Fun Nights to build home/school communication and collaboration. Panther Prides and weekly drawings at Flag Friday. MTSS teams to address both Social Emotional and Behavioral Universal and Tier II supports with corresponding interventions and data collection sheets. Professional Development on strategies to support on-task behaviors in the classroom and reduction of elopement behaviors. Provide each classroom with "fidget" tools to address sensory regulation needs. Training on creating Safe Spaces in the classroom for all teachers. Training on Anti-bullying and Anti- harassment procedures for all students and staff. Continue Counseling Services. Continue Monthly Character Award Assemblies. Create and Implement scheduled SART meetings to address attendance concerns. Saturday School with gift cards drawing as an incentive to decrease chronic absenteeism. | Teachers will increase skills and knowledge to support student social emotional connection to school, and students will have access to school counselor to build positive and functional communication skills, positive interpersonal skills and adherence to school rules and expectations. | |

Metric/Indicator

|--|

Strategies/Activities for Goal 3

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|
| MTSS, PBIS groups established and will meet to plan improvements and modifications. Counselor supports and services | nd and strategies to be | MTSS Coordinator 15% salary 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF - Supplemental 10822 | |
| | | MTSS SSA and Counselor Salaries 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF - Supplemental 92164 | |
| Refine reflection room to provide strategies for emotional regulation, restoration, and return to | Reflection room needed to be relocated due to winter storm damage. Team is working on planning the environment and supports necessary for the reflection room to address student needs. | Reflection Room Support staff salary 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF - Supplemental 4180 | |
| Train trauma team and develop plan for Trauma Informed Approaches for all staff to use effective classroom techniques. | Staff (Teachers and Instructional Aides) were for trained in Creating Safe | Professional Development 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality 1800 | |
| | | Trauma Informed Supplies 4000-4999: Books And Supplies LCFF - Supplemental 1000 | |
| Continue to support counseling services, anti- bullying education, social skills education, and supports, assemblies, | District added training on implementation and use of Suite 360 in addition to having Safe School Ambassador and School counselor go into | Suite 360 SEL Software 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating | |

| Planned Actions/Services | Actual Actions/Services | Proposed Expenditures | Estimated Actual Expenditures |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Panther Pride awards. lessons related to | classrooms to provide lessons related to social | Expenditures Other 3000 | |
| | emotional strength and resiliency, as well as continued targeted counseling and small group instruction. | PBIS incentives 4000- 4999: Books And Supplies LCFF - Supplemental 750 | |
| Continue campaign to increase school attendance and address chronic absenteeism, have Kindergarten parents sign pledge for "Under Five" absences. | Homeless Liaison.15 Transportation/Home Visits 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries 17202 | | |
| | PBIS Incentives 4000- 4999: Books And Supplies LCFF - Supplemental 750 | | |

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Teachers and staff have committed time, resources have been invested in activities, personnel have been added to move the school towards implementation of goals.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the school. Students come to school with a variety of needs. With the structures and additional supports in place (adding a Safe School Ambassador and a BASA) has meant that response time to address needs has diminished and behavioral concerns related to social/emotional stability has seen an overall reduction.

Explain any material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Annual Review and Update

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2017-18

Goal 4

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator

Expected Outcomes

Actual Outcomes

Strategies/Activities for Goal 4

Planned Actions/Services

Actual Actions/Services Proposed Expenditures Estimated Actual Expenditures

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the school.

Explain any material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Annual Review and Update

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2017-18

Goal 5

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator

Expected Outcomes

Actual Outcomes

Strategies/Activities for Goal 5

Planned Actions/Services

Actual Actions/Services Proposed Expenditures Estimated Actual Expenditures

Analysis

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Describe the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the school.

Explain any material differences between the Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures.

Budget Summary and Consolidation

The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp. The Consolidation of Funds is required for a school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp and consolidating those funds as part of a schoolwide program.

Budget Summary

| Description | Amount |
|---|---------|
| Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application | 168,933 |
| Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA | |

Allocations by Funding Source

| Funding Source | Amount | Balance |
|---|-----------|------------|
| After School and Education Safety (ASES) | \$151,120 | 151,120.00 |
| LCFF - Supplemental | \$785,401 | 785,401.00 |
| Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP) | \$6,125 | 6,125.00 |
| Title I | \$132,893 | 132,893.00 |
| Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality | \$14,915 | 14,915.00 |
| Title III Part A: Language Instruction for LEP Students | \$3,863 | 3,863.00 |
| Other | \$15,000 | 15,000.00 |

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source

Amount

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference

Amount

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference

Funding Source

Amount

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 3 Classroom Teachers
- 1 Other School Staff
- 5 Parent or Community Members

| Name of Members | Role |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Joan Perry | Principal |
| Adrian Nickols | Classroom Teacher |
| Sheri Betz | Classroom Teacher |
| Melissa Miotti | Classroom Teacher |
| Jessica Fulton | Other School Staff |
| Tiffany LaMendola | Parent or Community Member |
| Maggie Hodson | Parent or Community Member |
| Tanya Gonzalez | Parent or Community Member |
| Eloisa Gallegos | Parent or Community Member |
| Joy Haley | Parent or Community Member |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature

Committee or Advisory Group Name

Other: School Site Leadership Team

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

Attested:

Principal, Mrs. Joan Perry on 4/3/2019 Monton SSC Chairperson, Maggie Hodson on 4/3/2019

Addendum

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

Stakeholder Involvement

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Planned Strategies/Activities

Annual Review and Update

Budget Summary and Consolidation

Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Schools Funded Through the ConApp

Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to completion of the SPSA template, please contact the Local Educational Agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

Stakeholder Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. As such, the SPSA should be shared with, and schools should request input from, school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.).

Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update. Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve? A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

Basis for this Goal

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, and may include any data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil achievement.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to be make in the coming year.

Planned Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. Strategies and activities that are implemented to achieve the identified goal may be grouped together. A school may number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp is required to describe in their strategies and activities how they will address specific state and federal requirements. A list of these requirements may be found in Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Schools Funded Through the ConApp. At a minimum a school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp must address these requirements; however, a school may describe additional strategies/activities as well.

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating "All Students" or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

For each strategy/activity, list and describe the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities, including where those expenditures can be found in the school's budget. The school should reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure and should provide budget references as an object code or an object code description.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA.

Annual Review and Update

The planned goals, expected outcomes, planned strategies/activities, and proposed expenditures must be copied verbatim from the previous year's approved SPSA. Minor typographical errors may be corrected. Annual Measurable Outcomes

For each goal in the prior year, provide the metric/indicators, the expected outcomes, and the actual outcomes; review the actual outcomes as compared to the expected outcomes identified in the prior year for the goal. Strategies/Activities

Identify the planned strategies/activities and the proposed expenditures to implement these strategies/activities toward achieving the described goal, then identify the actual strategies/activities implemented to meet the described goal and the estimated actual expenditures to implement the strategies/activities. As applicable, identify any changes to the student groups served.

Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
- Describe the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal as measured by the school.
- Explain any material differences between Proposed Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures. Minor variances in expenditures or a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measureable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Budget Summary and Consolidation

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp. The Consolidation of Funds is required for a school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp and consolidating those funds as part of a schoolwide program.

Budget Summary

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school may include additional information or more detail.

Consolidation of Funds

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp and consolidating those funds as part of a schoolwide program is required to include a list of state and local programs and other federal programs that the school will consolidate in the schoolwide program. A list of commonly consolidated state and federal programs is provided in Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs. List the federal funding source(s) and the amount(s) being consolidated in the schoolwide program, then list the state and/or local funding source(s) and the amount(s). Adjust the table as needed.

Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Schools Funded Through the ConApp

Basic Plan Requirements

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement. School goals must be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data and may include any data voluntarily developed by districts to measure student achievement.

The SSC is required to develop the SPSA, which must address each of the following, as applicable:

- 1. A description of curricula, instructional strategies and materials responsive to the individual needs and learning styles of each student (described in the Strategies/Activities).
- 2. A description of instructional and auxiliary services to meet the special needs of non-English-speaking or limited-English-speaking students, including instruction in a language these students understand; educationally disadvantaged students; gifted and talented students; and students with exceptional needs (described in the Strategies/Activities).
- 3. A description of a staff development program for teachers, other school personnel, paraprofessionals, and volunteers, including those participating in special programs (described in the Strategies/Activities).
- 4. An identification of the schools' means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing its goals (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes) and an ongoing evaluation of the educational program of the school (described in the Annual Review and Update).
- 5. A description of how funds provided to the school through the ConApp will be used to improve the academic performance of all pupils to the level of state performance goals (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- 6. The proposed expenditures of funds available to the school through the programs described in EC Section 52851. For purposes of this subdivision, proposed expenditures of funds available to the school must include, but not be limited to, salaries and staff benefits for persons providing services for those programs (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- 7. The proposed expenditure of funds available to the school through the federal Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 and its amendments. If the school operates a state-approved schoolwide program in a manner consistent with the expenditure of funds available to the school pursuant to EC Section 52851, employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- 8. A description of how state and federal law governing programs identified in EC Section 64000 will be implemented, as applicable (described in the Strategies/Activities).
- 9. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC.

Authority cited: EC sections 64001(f)-(g) and 52853(a)(1)-(7).

Schoolwide Program Requirements

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp and operating a schoolwide program (SWP) must describe how the school will carry out each of the following components:

- 1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will
 - a. provide opportunities for all students, including socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students from major racial and ethnic groups, students with disabilities, and English learners, to meet the challenging state academic standards.
 - b. use effective methods and instructional strategies based on scientifically based research that
 - i. strengthen the core academic program in the school;
 - ii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum;
 - iii. increase the amount and quality of learning time;
 - iv. include strategies for meeting the educational needs of historically underserved populations;
 - v. help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum; and
 - vi. are consistent with, and are designed to implement, state and local improvement plans, if any.
 - c. address the needs of all students in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging state academic standards, through activities which may include the following:
 - i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
 - ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
 - iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
 - iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
 - v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- 2. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
- 3. A description of how the school will ensure instruction by highly qualified teachers and provide ongoing professional development, including
 - a. strategies to attract highly qualified teachers;
 - b. providing high-quality and ongoing professional development that is aligned with the state's academic standards for teachers, principals, paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff, to enable all students in the school to meet the state's academic standards;
 - c. the devotion of sufficient resources to effectively carry out professional development activities; and
 - d. the inclusion of teachers in professional development activities regarding the use of academic assessments to enable them to provide information on, and to improve, the achievement of individual students and the overall instructional program.
- 4. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Stakeholder Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- 5. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to
 - a. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
 - b. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- 6. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- 7. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR) sections 200.27(a)(3)(i)-(iii) and 200.28 and section 1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA.

Appendix B: Select State and Federal Programs

Federal Programs

Title I, Part A: School Allocation Title I, Part A: School Parent and Family Engagement Allocation Title I, Part A: Targeted Support and Improvement Allocation Title I, Part C: Education of Migratory Children Title II, Part A: Supporting Effective Instruction Title III, Part A: Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrate Youth Title IV Part A: Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants Title IV Part B: 21st Century Community Learning Centers Title V, Part B: Rural Education Initiative Title VI, Part A: Indian, Native Hawaiian, and Alaska Native Education

State or Local Programs

After School Education and Safety Program American Indian Education Child Development Programs Economic Impact Aid/State Compensatory Education (carryover funds) Economic Impact Aid/Limited English Proficient (carryover funds) California Foster Youth Services California Partnership Academies California Tobacco-Use Prevention Education Program